

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

50/	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/196,013	11/19/1998	NORIO KOMA	5586D-7076	8659
500 S. GRA	7590 06/28/2002 L' HARTSON L.L.P. ND AVENUE		EXAMINER NGUYEN, FRANCIS N	
SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
\$	A.I. in an Antina	09/196,013	KOMA, NORIO
فيز	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
		FRANCIS NGUYEN	2674
The	MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
HE REPLY I herefore, fur nal rejection	FILED 03 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE To ther action by the applicant is required to under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT avoid abandonment of this appl	ION FOR ALLOWANCE. ication. A proper reply to a pich places the application in
W	period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The p event, ONLY 706.0 Extensions of the period is the period is the period is the period in the	eriod for reply expires 3 months from the maining date of this A, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later? CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAT(f). of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The the date for purposes of determining the period of ext is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter exed. Any reply received by the Office later than three m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date STILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the state o	HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in
1. ☐ A Noti 37 CF	ce of Appeal was filed on Appella R 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	nt's Brief must be filed within the CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	period set forth in all of the appeal.
2. ⊠ The pr	oposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	·
(a) 🕱 th	ey raise new issues that would require ful	rther consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);
/b) □ th	lev raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	
(c) ☐ th	ley are not deemed to place the applications are not appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by m	
(d) 🔲 t	hey present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applic	ant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):	
4.☐ Newly cance	proposed or amended claim(s) wo	uld be allowable if submitted in a	
5.☐ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques cation in condition for allowance because	··	
6. The a	ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE	
7 K/ Farm	urposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm anation of how the new or amended claim	nent(s) a) 🔀 will not be entered o s would be rejected is provided t	or b)⊡ will be entered and an pelow or appended.
The s	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:	
	m(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .		•
	m(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .		0 .
	m(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 7-17</u> .		///, //
Clair	n(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1/1/1/K~
8. The	proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□ dis	capprofiled by the Examiner.
9.☐ Note	the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(S). HICHA'PIZ HAFRIPE
10. ☐ Othe		SUPE	ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
IS Patent and Trad		Tt	CHMOLOGY CENTER 2600

ontinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 9/196,013 Application No.

ontinuation of 2. NOTE: Added claim limitation without a control voltage...simultaneously raise new issues requiring further search..

ejection is maintained as indicated in Final Office rejection (paper #17)

_